

Dual Principal Component Pursuit for Learning a Union of Hyperplanes





EHIGH UNIVERSITY

¹Johns Hopkins University ²University of Denver ³Shanghai Tech University ⁴Lehigh University

Introduction

- Motivation: Many applications in computer vision can be reduced to hyperplane clustering problems (corrupted with outliers)
- Challenges: Existing subspace clustering methods are based on sparse or lowrank approaches, whose theory and algorithms for low-dimensional subspaces do not apply to a union of hyperplanes



Estimating the geometry of a room from its depth map by fitting multiple hyperplanes



Estimating the road condition from points collected by a laser scanner

Dual Principal Component Pursuit (DPCP)

- Inliers $X \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times N}$ are N inlier points that lie in the union of K hyperplanes $\{H_k\}_{k=1}^K$ of \mathbb{R}^D with unit normal vectors $\{\mathbf{b}_k\}_{k=1}^K$
- Outliers $O \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times M}$ are M outlier points that lie in ambient space \mathbb{R}^{D}
- Given dataset $\tilde{X} = [X, O]$, DPCP computes a solution \mathbf{b}^{\star} , ideally a normal to one specific hyperplane, by

$$\min_{\mathbf{b}: \|\mathbf{b}\|_2 = 1} f(\mathbf{b}) := \|X^{T}\mathbf{b}\|_1$$

- Problem (1) is challenging:
- X contains inliers from a union of hyperplanes
- Analysis of learning a single hyperplane cannot be applied here since inliers from other planes also exhibit certain linear structures



Main Contributions

- Derive both *geometric* and *probabilistic* conditions under which \mathbf{b}^{\star} is a normal vector to a geometrically dominant hyperplane, denoted by H_1
- Prove a Projected Riemannian SubGradient Method converges linearly to \mathbf{b}_1

	Main Contributions				
Theory	Deterministic Analysis	$\mathbf{b}^{\star} \perp H_1$ under some conditions	[Tsakiris & Vidal]: a more transparent analysis that explicitly captures data dist.		
	Probabilistic Analysis	$\mathbf{b}^{\star} \perp H_1$ with high probability if #outliers = O((#inliers of H_1 -#remaining inliers) ²)	[Lerman & Zhang]: a new probabilistic guarantee with a mild sample complexity: $\Omega(D^3)$ v.s. $\Omega(D^{18} \log D)$		
Algorithm	Projected Riemannian SubGradient Method	linear convergence of $\hat{\mathbf{b}}_t$ to \mathbf{b}_1	[Tsakiris & Vidal]: a scalable method that has a convergence guarantee		

Tianyu Ding¹, Zhihui Zhu², Manolis Tsakiris³, Rene Vidal¹, Daniel Robinson⁴

Deterministic Global Optimality Analysis Projected Riemannian SubGradient Method **Initialization:** \tilde{X} , $\{\mu_0, \beta\} \subseteq (0,1)$ and $k \leftarrow 0$ • Informally, H_1 is the geometrically dominant hyperplane if: • it has a large enough number of points Spectral initialization: set $\hat{\mathbf{b}}_0 \leftarrow \arg \min \|\tilde{X}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{b}\|_2$ • the data points are well-distributed the other hyperplanes are well-separated from each other Geometrically diminishing step size: $\mu_t \leftarrow \mu_0 \beta^t$ Compute a Riemannian subgradient: $\mathscr{G}(\widehat{\mathbf{b}}_t) \leftarrow (\mathbf{I} - \widehat{\mathbf{b}}_t \widehat{\mathbf{b}}_t^{\mathsf{T}}) \widetilde{X}$ sign $(\widetilde{X}^{\mathsf{T}} \widehat{\mathbf{b}}_t)$ • ζ_1 quantifies the dominance level of H_1 : more dominance of H_1 leads to larger ζ_1 Update the iterate as: $\widetilde{\mathbf{b}}_{t+1} \leftarrow \widehat{\mathbf{b}}_t - \mu_t \mathscr{G}(\widehat{\mathbf{b}}_t); \ \widehat{\mathbf{b}}_{t+1} \leftarrow \widetilde{\mathbf{b}}_{t+1} / \|\widetilde{\mathbf{b}}_{t+1}\|_2$ Lemma: Any critical point \mathbf{b}^{\star} of (1) is either a normal vector of H_1 ($\mathbf{b}^{\star} \in \{\pm \mathbf{b}_1\}$), or has a principal angle θ from \mathbf{b}_1 such that $\theta \ge \theta^{\diamond} := \arccos(1/\zeta_1)$. **Theorem:** Let $\hat{\theta}_t$ be the principal angle between $\hat{\mathbf{b}}_t$ and \mathbf{b}_1 . If $\hat{\theta}_0 < \theta^{\diamond}$, then with - Remark 1: greater dominance of H_1 implies a more restricted location for \mathbf{b}^{\star}

• Remark 2: normal vectors of other hyperplanes within a θ^{\diamond} -neighborhood of \mathbf{b}_1 cannot be critical points of (1) \rightarrow Inspires the convergence of an algorithm to \mathbf{b}_1



(Left) \mathbf{b}_2 , \mathbf{b}_3 could be critical points

(Right) \mathbf{b}_2 cannot be a critical point

Theorem: Any global solution \mathbf{b}^{\star} of (1) satisfies $\mathbf{b}^{\star} \in \{\pm \mathbf{b}_1\}$ as long as H_1 is sufficiently geometrically dominant.

Probabilistic Analysis

Consider a random spherical model:

- M outliers are drawn uniformly from the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^D
- N_k inliers to H_k are drawn uniformly from the intersection of H_k and the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^D , where $N_1 + \cdots + N_K = N$

Theorem: Global solutions of (1) are normal vectors of H_1 with high probability if

$$M \le C \cdot \left(N_1 - \sum_{k \ne 1} N_k \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

- *C* is a decreasing function of *D*
- Remark 3: the Theorem implies $N_1 > N_2 + \cdots + N_K$, and the non-convex DPCP approach can roughly tolerate #outliers on the order of the square of #inliers
- [Lerman & Zhang] analyzes the same problem while requires a total sampling of $N + M = \Omega(D^{18} \log D)$ points to make the probability overwhelming
- Our analysis allows a sampling of only $N + M = \Omega(D^3)$ points to establish a high probable recovery





The 24th International Conference on **Artificial Intelligence and Statistics**

appropriate initial step size μ_0 and diminishing factor β , we have $\sin(\hat{\theta}_t) \leq \beta^k$.

• Remark 4: the Theorem indicates the above algorithm has a linear convergence rate to \mathbf{b}_1

• Convergence may fail if β is too small, while convergence may be slow when β is too large

• In the right figure, D = 9, K = 3, N = 1200, $N_3 = 0.8N_2 = 0.8^2N_1$, the outlier ratio M/(M+N) = 0.3, and $\mu_0 = 0.01$



Hyperplane Clustering with DPCP

• K-subspaces (KSS) [Bradley & Mangasarian] is a clustering method that alternates between assigning data to clusters and estimating a subspace for each cluster using PCA, which is known to be sensitive to outliers

• We integrate DPCP into KSS (DPCP-KSS) by using DPCP to estimate the geometrically dominant hyperplane for each cluster due to its robustness in fitting a hyperplane under a UoH model

• We also leverage an ensemble of DPCP-KSS via the EKSS [Lipor et al.] and CoRe [Lane et al.] frameworks to potentially further boost the performance

	D=4				
	K = 2	K = 3	K = 4	K = 5	
MKF	0.7937	0.6263	0.5548	0.4643	
SCC	0.9445	0.9209	0.9093	0.8784	
EnSC	0.7011	0.4912	0.3913	0.3254	
SC-ADMM	0.6801	0.4810	0.3795	0.3175	
SSC-OMP	0.5707	0.4134	0.3291	0.2747	
PCP-KSS	0.9834	0.9463	0.8985	0.8103	
CoP-KSS	0.9614	0.8747	0.8300	0.7630	
PCA-KSS	0.9601	0.8623	0.8142	0.7461	
PCP-EKSS	0.9889	0.8807	0.9778	0.9489	
CoP-EKSS	0.8278	0.8393	0.8772	0.7938	
PCA-EKSS	0.8278	0.8274	0.8517	0.7542	
CP-CoRe-KSS	0.9832	0.9715	0.9561	0.9599	
P-CoRe-KSS	0.9612	0.8992	0.9065	0.8907	
A-CoRe-KSS	0.9603	0.8981	0.8769	0.8586	

Table: Clustering accuracy for KSS variants and methods designed for clustering low dimensional subspaces. Figure: Visualization in clustering four hyperplanes from a 3D point cloud of NYUdepthV2.

